

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/886,171

Applicant(s)

ZARLING ET AL.

Examiner

David A. Lambertson

Art Unit

1636

**All Participants:**(1) David A. Lambertson.(2) Daniel M. Becker.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 7 December 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10, 11, 14, 16, 17 and 29.

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was informed that claims 10, 11, 14, 16, 17 and 29 were multiply dependent on multiple dependent claim 4. In order to put the claims in condition for allowance, Applicant's representative approved the attached Examiner's Amendment removing the multiple dependency of claims 10, 11, 14, 16, 17 and 29, and introducing new claims 42-47 as dependent on claim 4 (the amended subject matter of claims 10, 11, 14, 16, 17 and 29).